

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 1030304 DATE: <u>6/20/2006</u>	ARRIVE: 1:55PM DEPART: 2:30PM
FACILITY NAME: EAST LAKE CLEANERS	
FACILITY LOCATION: 2459 Sandy Pt Road	
PALM HARBOR 34685	
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: ANTHONY SLEEPER	PHONE: (727)785-6855
CONTACT NAME: BRIAN COSTELLO	PHONE: (
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2005 ENTITLES	MENT PERIOD: 7/6/2001 / 7/6/2006 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (chec	·
☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPL	IANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION - Rule 62-213 (check only one box in A)	3.300 FAC
A. 1. Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)	2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91)
3. Existing large area source dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed before $12/9/91$)	4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed on or after $12/9/91$)
5. Ineligible for General Permit drop store/out of business/petroleum facility exceeds above limits	
B . The total quantity of perchloroethylene (perc) purch cleaning facility was 45 gallons.	hased within the preceding 12 months by this dry

PA	RT III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check ☑ only one box
Do	es the responsible official of the dry cleaning facility:	for each question)
1.	Store perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	⊠Yes □No □N/A
2.	Examine the containers for leakage?	⊠Yes □ No □ N/A
3.	Close and secure machine doors except during loading/unloading?	⊠ Yes □ No
4.	Drain cartridge filters in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	⊠Yes □ No □ N/A
	Maintain solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds according to the manufacturer's specifications?	□Yes □ No □ N/A
	RT IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC efer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form)	
	1. If the facility classification is a Existing small area source, no controls are requi	nired. Proceed to Part V.
	2. If the facility classification is a <u>New small area source</u> , the machine should be excondenser. Complete section A. below.	equipped with a refrigerated
	3. If the facility classification is a Existing large area source , the machine should be refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B belo <i>must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>	
	4. If the facility classification is a <u>New large area source</u> , the machine should be econdenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	equipped with a refrigerated
A.	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> :	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	- ⊠Yes □No
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	⊠Yes □No □N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	- □Yes □No ⊠N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	- ⊠Yes □No
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	⊠Yes □No □N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	- ⊠Yes □No

PA	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)				
В.	Does the responsible official of an existing large or new large area source also:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
1.	Measure and record the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines on a weekly basis?	⊠Yes □No			
2.	Measure and record the washer exhaust temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet weekly?	- ∐Yes □ No ⊠N/A			
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than $20^{\rm o}F?$	☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A			
3.	Measure and record the perc concentration in the exhaust stream weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	□Yes □ No ⊠ N/A			
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A			
4.	Assure that the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations is at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	□Yes □ No ⊠ N/A			
5	Equip transfer machines (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual				
٥.	condenser coils?	- Yes No N/A			
6.	Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A			
PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC	(check ☑ only one box for			
Do	oes the responsible official:	each question)			
1.	Maintain receipts for perc purchased?	Yes No			
2.	Maintain rolling monthly total of yearly perc consumption?	⊠ Yes □ No			
3.	Maintain leak detection inspection and repair reports for the following:				
	a) documentation of leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	Yes No No N/A			
	b) documentation of parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?	☐ Yes ☐ No N/A			
4.					
5	Maintain calibration data? (for applicable direct reading instruments)	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A			
٦.	Maintain calibration data? (for applicable direct reading instruments) Maintain exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations?	<u> </u>			
		☐ Yes ☐ No N/A			
6.	Maintain exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐ Yes ☐ No			
6.	Maintain exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations? Maintain a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan?	 Yes □ No ⋈ N/A Yes □ No Yes □ No ⋈ N/A 			

PART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC

1. Does the responsible official conduct a weekly (for small sources, bi-weekly) leak

(check ☑ only one box for each question)

detection and repair inspection?	
2. Does the facility maintain a leak log?	
3. Does the responsible official check the following areas for leaks? a) Hose connections, fittings, couplings, and valves	k cookers
4. Which method(s) of detection (is/are) used by the responsible official	?
a) Visual examination (condensed solvent on exterior surfaces) b) Physical detection (airflow felt through gaskets) c) Odor (noticeable perc odor) d) Use of direct-reading instrumentation (FID/PID/calorimetric tube e) Halogen leak detector **If using direct-reading instrumentation, is the equipment: 1) Capable of detecting perc vapor concentrations in a range of 0-50 2) Calibrated against a standard gas prior to and after each use (PID/3) Inspected for leaks and obvious signs of wear on a weekly basis? 4) Kept in a clean and secure area when not in use?	b)
SHEA JACKSON	6/20/2006
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	~ 6/ 20/2007
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS:

- This facility was inspected as annual compliance determination. I was also checking on the status of the permit notification status. The facility permit expiration is 7/5/2006. I met with the facility contact, and co owner Brian Costello. The responsible official, Anthony Sleeper was not on site, as this time due to illness.
- I asked Mr. Costello if he had a copy of the notification Mr. Sleeper was supposed to have sent in to renew the permit. He stated he did not, but had a copy of the \$50.00 check, which was for the payment. This is the annual fee; there is not a notification fee.
- Mr. Costello showed me the copy of the blank notification form; he had received from DEP for the renewal.
- I asked him if he was signed as the co owner on the notification form. He stated that Mr. Sleeper had informed him they had put him as co owner on the notification which was said to have been sent to DEP. He did not remember signing the notification form sent out by Mr. Sleeper. I asked him to recheck that Mr. Sleeper had sent the notification to DEP.
- I reviewed the calendar records, which were up to date, most recent recording June 16, 2006. The perchloroethylene usage was 13. 8 gallons. The last purchase was for Perc was January 2006. Mr. Costello stated he has not used much Perc, he stated the equipment typically only operated in the mornings for one hour. The temperature ranges were from 38 40°F. Mr. Costello stated the A & E service check, calibrate and repair his equipment. He showed service invoices.
- The dry cleaning equipment was not in operation at this time. There were no Perchloroethylene odors detected during the inspection of the facility. I observed the Hazardous waste containers were stored in the northwest corner of the shop. They were in a secondary containment area. (See photo)
- The annual certification will be signed by Anthony Sleeper and mailed to the AQ office, as he is still listed as the primary responsible official.
- The permit was due to have been submitted by 6/5/2006 (30 days prior to expiration). The notification does not appear to have been received at this time by Mobile Source Control.
- Mr. Costello mailed a second notification on 6/28/2006. Enforcement may be ensued only if permit expires before the second notification form is received by BAMM.